

MARKET MUSINGS & DATA DECIPHERING

Deep Dish with Dave

Chicago, the city of bulls, bears and cubs – how perfect.

What hasn't been is the weather, which calls for pinot noir at the hotel bar.

And some market and macro musings, vacation notwithstanding.

I see once again that the S&P500 is at a crossroad, but this time after a huge bounce. The market is having as much trouble now with resistance levels as it was encountering difficulty breaking below support levels just a short month ago.

Currently, the S&P 500 is a lock between 1,000 and 1,200 and we are now right at the midpoint. This range-trade pattern will break at some point and my sense is that it will be to the downside, and at that time we will see who has the cash (to put to work) and who's left with the trash (and about to be trashed).

The level of complacency over the economic outlook is palpable and so reminiscent of the fall of 2007 when everyone believed the Fed could navigate us into a soft landing in the face of a credit collapse. Now the pundits have all but abandoned the ECRI index as a leading indicator (even the architects have) of economic activity. The ISM is dipping, but still above 50, didn't you know. Corporate earnings were stellar in the second quarter – who cares if the results were skewed more to April than June? The savings rate has spiked to 6.4% in June, and many pundits see this as a valve for the U.S. consumer to reload the spending gun as opposed to a new secular theme of frugality.

So, many market commentators and prognosticators still see this cycle as a classic post-war correction in GDP than what it really is – the aftershocks of a post-bubble credit collapse. A double-dip recession may well be averted, but the risks are not trivial and the major point here is that the statistical recovery that was underpinned by the arithmetic contributions to GDP growth from inventories and the massive support from unprecedented fiscal and monetary ease is proving to be extremely fragile. Why else would Democratic senators now be voicing opposition to allowing the Bush tax cuts to end (the ones they criticized vehemently in the 2008 election) and why else would the Fed be hinting strongly at extending its quantitative easing strategies if the consensus view of 2.75% real GDP growth, was realistic? The odds of something closer to zero are higher than many think.

Once again that the S&P500 is at a crossroad, but this time after a huge bounce

Many market commentators and prognosticators still see this cycle as a classic post-war correction in GDP

After all, after yesterday's economic data, there is almost no growth "built in" for consumer spending as far as Q3 is concerned. In Japan-like fashion, the only thing that is preventing U.S. consumer spending from contracting outright at the current time is a negative price deflator, which is helping to flatten the data in "real terms". (As an aside, anyone notice that the yield on the 10-year JGB just dipped below 1% for the first time in seven years? Just in case you thought 3% in the U.S. and Canada was too low – they may in fact be as much a bargain today as they were at 4% earlier this year and 5% in the summer of 2007.) Consumer prices in the U.S. have now fallen three months in a row (as measured by the PCE price deflator) and the last time we had a three-peat of deflator declines was when the economy was plumbing the depths back in the opening months of 2009. It is against this deflationary backdrop that "yield themes" shine as far as investment strategies are concerned.

Moreover, the sharp and unexpected 2.6% decline in pending home sales points to a huge reversal in housing this quarter as well. Recall that the tax incentive induced housing boost in Q2 added six-tenths of a percent to that already lukewarm 2.4% GDP growth rate last quarter.

We also now have to contend with the end of the mini inventory cycle that was responsible for nearly two-thirds of the GDP rebound from last year's depressed lows. The ISM may well be above 50, but it has still declined for three months in a row – slipping to 55.5 in July from 56.2 in June. And, out of the 18 industries polled in the ISM, only 10 reported growth, which was down from 13 in June and the lowest tally since December 2009, while 4 actually contracted. Inventories jumped to 50.2 from 45.8 and it would seem as though this sudden re-stocking looks to be unintended – after all, production and orders slid to their lowest levels since June/09. Had inventories been flat, ISM would have been down to 54.6. What really stood out was the new orders component – down 12.2 points in the last two months. The last time this happened was October 2008 and in fact is a 1-in-25 event. The 4% of the time this does occur is in or near recessions but rest assured, like the ECRI, this is nothing more than a relic... Right.

Going into the third quarter, there is almost no growth "built in" for consumer spending

The ISM may well be above 50, but it has still declined for three months in a row

Gluskin Sheff at a Glance

Gluskin Sheff + Associates Inc. is one of Canada's pre-eminent wealth management firms. Founded in 1984 and focused primarily on high net worth private clients, we are dedicated to the prudent stewardship of our clients' wealth through the delivery of strong, risk-adjusted investment returns together with the highest level of personalized client service.

OVERVIEW

As of June 30, 2010, the Firm managed assets of \$5.5 billion.¹

Gluskin Sheff became a publicly traded corporation on the Toronto Stock Exchange (symbol: GS) in May 2006 and remains 54% owned by its senior management and employees. We have public company accountability and governance with a private company commitment to innovation and service.

Our investment interests are directly aligned with those of our clients, as Gluskin Sheff's management and employees are collectively the largest client of the Firm's investment portfolios.

We offer a diverse platform of investment strategies (Canadian and U.S. equities, Alternative and Fixed Income) and investment styles (Value, Growth and Income).²

The minimum investment required to establish a client relationship with the Firm is \$3 million for Canadian investors and \$5 million for U.S. & International investors.

PERFORMANCE

\$1 million invested in our Canadian Value Portfolio in 1991 (its inception date) would have grown to \$11.7 million² on March 31, 2010 versus \$5.7 million for the S&P/TSX Total Return Index over the same period.

\$1 million USD invested in our U.S. Equity Portfolio in 1986 (its inception date) would have grown to \$8.7 million USD³ on March 31, 2010 versus \$6.9 million USD for the S&P 500 Total Return Index over the same period.

INVESTMENT STRATEGY & TEAM

We have strong and stable portfolio management, research and client service teams. Aside from recent additions, our Portfolio Managers have been with the Firm for a minimum of ten years and we have attracted "best in class" talent at all levels. Our performance results are those of the team in place.

We have a strong history of insightful bottom-up security selection based on fundamental analysis.

For long equities, we look for companies with a history of long-term growth and stability, a proven track record, shareholder-minded management and a share price below our estimate of intrinsic value. We look for the opposite in equities that we sell short.

For corporate bonds, we look for issuers with a margin of safety for the payment of interest and principal, and yields which are attractive relative to the assessed credit risks involved.

We assemble concentrated portfolios — our top ten holdings typically represent between 25% to 45% of a portfolio. In this way, clients benefit from the ideas in which we have the highest conviction.

Our success has often been linked to our long history of investing in under-followed and under-appreciated small and mid cap companies both in Canada and the U.S.

PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

In terms of asset mix and portfolio construction, we offer a unique marriage between our bottom-up security-specific fundamental analysis and our top-down macroeconomic view.

Our investment interests are directly aligned with those of our clients, as Gluskin Sheff's management and employees are collectively the largest client of the Firm's investment portfolios.

\$1 million invested in our Canadian Value Portfolio in 1991 (its inception date) would have grown to \$11.7 million² on March 31, 2010 versus \$5.7 million for the S&P/TSX Total Return Index over the same period.

For further information, please contact questions@gluskinsheff.com

Notes:

Unless otherwise noted, all values are in Canadian dollars.

1. Preliminary unaudited estimate.

2. Not all investment strategies are available to non-Canadian investors. Please contact Gluskin Sheff for information specific to your situation.

3. Returns are based on the composite of segregated Value and U.S. Equity portfolios, as applicable, and are presented net of fees and expenses.

IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES

Copyright 2010 Gluskin Sheff + Associates Inc. ("Gluskin Sheff"). All rights reserved. This report is prepared for the use of Gluskin Sheff clients and subscribers to this report and may not be redistributed, retransmitted or disclosed, in whole or in part, or in any form or manner, without the express written consent of Gluskin Sheff. Gluskin Sheff reports are distributed simultaneously to internal and client websites and other portals by Gluskin Sheff and are not publicly available materials. Any unauthorized use or disclosure is prohibited.

Gluskin Sheff may own, buy, or sell, on behalf of its clients, securities of issuers that may be discussed in or impacted by this report. As a result, readers should be aware that Gluskin Sheff may have a conflict of interest that could affect the objectivity of this report. This report should not be regarded by recipients as a substitute for the exercise of their own judgment and readers are encouraged to seek independent, third-party research on any companies covered in or impacted by this report.

Individuals identified as economists do not function as research analysts under U.S. law and reports prepared by them are not research reports under applicable U.S. rules and regulations. Macroeconomic analysis is considered investment research for purposes of distribution in the U.K. under the rules of the Financial Services Authority.

Neither the information nor any opinion expressed constitutes an offer or an invitation to make an offer, to buy or sell any securities or other financial instrument or any derivative related to such securities or instruments (e.g., options, futures, warrants, and contracts for differences). This report is not intended to provide personal investment advice and it does not take into account the specific investment objectives, financial situation and the particular needs of any specific person. Investors should seek financial advice regarding the appropriateness of investing in financial instruments and implementing investment strategies discussed or recommended in this report and should understand that statements regarding future prospects may not be realized. Any decision to purchase or subscribe for securities in any offering must be based solely on existing public information on such security or the information in the prospectus or other offering document issued in connection with such offering, and not on this report.

Securities and other financial instruments discussed in this report, or recommended by Gluskin Sheff, are not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and are not deposits or other obligations of any insured depository institution. Investments in general and, derivatives, in particular, involve numerous risks, including, among others, market risk, counterparty default risk and liquidity risk. No security, financial instrument or derivative is suitable for all investors. In some cases, securities and other financial instruments may be difficult to value or sell and reliable information about the value or risks related to the security or financial instrument may be difficult to obtain. Investors should note that income from such securities and other financial instruments, if any, may fluctuate and that price or value of such securities and instruments may rise or fall

and, in some cases, investors may lose their entire principal investment. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. Levels and basis for taxation may change.

Foreign currency rates of exchange may adversely affect the value, price or income of any security or financial instrument mentioned in this report. Investors in such securities and instruments effectively assume currency risk.

Materials prepared by Gluskin Sheff research personnel are based on public information. Facts and views presented in this material have not been reviewed by, and may not reflect information known to, professionals in other business areas of Gluskin Sheff. To the extent this report discusses any legal proceeding or issues, it has not been prepared as nor is it intended to express any legal conclusion, opinion or advice. Investors should consult their own legal advisers as to issues of law relating to the subject matter of this report. Gluskin Sheff research personnel's knowledge of legal proceedings in which any Gluskin Sheff entity and/or its directors, officers and employees may be plaintiffs, defendants, co-defendants or co-plaintiffs with or involving companies mentioned in this report is based on public information. Facts and views presented in this material that relate to any such proceedings have not been reviewed by, discussed with, and may not reflect information known to, professionals in other business areas of Gluskin Sheff in connection with the legal proceedings or matters relevant to such proceedings.

Any information relating to the tax status of financial instruments discussed herein is not intended to provide tax advice or to be used by anyone to provide tax advice. Investors are urged to seek tax advice based on their particular circumstances from an independent tax professional.

The information herein (other than disclosure information relating to Gluskin Sheff and its affiliates) was obtained from various sources and Gluskin Sheff does not guarantee its accuracy. This report may contain links to third-party websites. Gluskin Sheff is not responsible for the content of any third-party website or any linked content contained in a third-party website. Content contained on such third-party websites is not part of this report and is not incorporated by reference into this report. The inclusion of a link in this report does not imply any endorsement by or any affiliation with Gluskin Sheff.

All opinions, projections and estimates constitute the judgment of the author as of the date of the report and are subject to change without notice. Prices also are subject to change without notice. Gluskin Sheff is under no obligation to update this report and readers should therefore assume that Gluskin Sheff will not update any fact, circumstance or opinion contained in this report.

Neither Gluskin Sheff nor any director, officer or employee of Gluskin Sheff accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct, indirect or consequential damages or losses arising from any use of this report or its contents.