

MARKET MUSINGS & DATA DECIPHERING

Breakfast Lite with Dave

GETTING A GRIP ON REALITY

Double-dip risks in the U.S. have risen substantially in the past two months. While the “back end” of the economy is still performing well, as we saw in the May industrial production report, this lags the cycle. The “front end” leads the cycle and by that we mean the key guts of final sales — the consumer and housing.

We have already endured two soft retail sales reports in a row and now the weekly chain-store data for June are pointing to subpar activity. The housing sector is going back into the tank — there is no question about it. Bank credit is back in freefall. The recovery in consumer sentiment leaves it at levels that in the past were consistent with outright recessions. By our estimates, the diffusion index on the Conference Board’s leading economic indicator (LEI) in May came in at a disconcerting 40% for the second month in a row. Jobless claims are one of the 10 components of the LEI and last year’s improvement not only stalled out completely, but at around 460k is consistent with stagnant to negative jobs growth. And exports, which had been a lynchpin in the past year, will feel the double-whammy from the strength in the U.S. dollar and the spreading problems overseas.

Spanish banks cannot get funding and another Chinese bank regulator has warned in the past 24 hours of the growing risks from the country’s credit excesses. A disorderly unwinding of China’s credit and property bubble may well be the principal global macro risk for the remainder of the year.

Another key source of uncertainty over the economic, financial and indeed the political outlook is this uncontrollable oil spill. Geopolitical risks are extremely high — and it’s more about Turkey and its eastward move that is now most unsettling. What was interesting yesterday was to hear BoC Governor Carney strike a more balanced tone — all of a sudden, more rate hikes in Canada aren’t such a sure thing (see more below).

That the equity market has been able to digest this news seems impressive on the surface. But remember, it’s the same stock market that was hitting new highs well into the fall of 2008, even after the onset of home price deflation, the shutdown of New Century Financial, and the collapse of two Bear Stearns hedge funds. Just because George Chuvalo lasted 10 rounds against Ali and his punishing left hook did not mean he won the fight (or avoided a stint at the hospital).

IN TODAY’S ISSUE OF BREAKFAST WITH DAVE

- While you were sleeping: A mixed performance in Asian equity markets, but the European bourses are rallying on strong economic data out of the U.K.; however, the pro-risk trade is not evident in the FX market
- Getting a grip on reality: double-dip recession risks in the U.S. have risen substantially in the past two months
- Bonds still having more fun: the general investing public is still focused on the fixed-income market — the latest mutual fund flow data shows that \$4.7bln were invested in bond funds
- The outlook is one of deflation, corporate balance sheet strength and liquidity, intense volatility and ongoing sovereign credit concerns
- U.S. housing hangover: one of the first post-tax credit housing data was a huge disappointment
- Producer prices in the U.S. remains tame
- U.S. production improving but still loads of slack in the economy
- Bank of Canada’s Carney still dovish and in data-watching mode
- A cold spring for the Canadian housing market

Please see important disclosures at the end of this document.

Gluskin Sheff + Associates Inc. is one of Canada’s pre-eminent wealth management firms. Founded in 1984 and focused primarily on high net worth private clients, we are dedicated to meeting the needs of our clients by delivering strong, risk-adjusted returns together with the highest level of personalized client service. For more information or to subscribe to Gluskin Sheff economic reports, visit www.gluskinsheff.com



BONDS STILL HAVING MORE FUN

As we said yesterday, 2009 was the anomaly in that junk low-quality surge off the lows. This is the year of SIRP – safety and income at a reasonable price. Long bonds have generated an annualized 20% return and corporate credit has generated high single digit returns (U.S. figures). Equities are flat with a tremendous amount of volatility to boot. Moreover, while portfolio managers, flash traders, prop desks and hedge fund types have been buying and selling (ostensibly to each other – do we have to dust off the Pig Farmer story again?), the general investing public (you know – the ones with the savings that ultimately determine where funds will be allocated) is still focussed squarely on the fixed-income market. This deserves a dissertation from the school of behavioural economics.

The median age of the 78 million boomers is 54 going on 55 and even after two bubbles bursting less than a decade apart, this cohort still have 55% of the asset base in equities and real estate and a mere 6% in bonds. And, it is the latter piece of the pie that is expanding the most and will be expanding the most in the future as demographic, deflation and deflationary realities – investing in 3D – make it imperative for the wide swath of aging boomers to focus less on capital appreciation strategies and focus more on capital preservation stories. Income is king and the proletariat have already figured it out despite Wall Street research houses still advocating the equity market even though the S&P 500 has generated no return but plenty of heartburn for 12 years now; the hallmark of a secular or primary bear market: rent the rallies, don't own them.

Indeed, this seems to be the strategy among those whose savings inevitably set the market price for assets and securities. As a microcosm for what has gone on now for a good 15 months, the Investment Company Institute (ICI) data for last week showed net mutual fund inflows of \$2.1 billion – great news for our industry! But guess what? All the inflows and then some – \$4.7 billion – were in fixed-income of some sort. Equity funds posted net outflow of over \$2.9 billion – in just one week. Another \$220 million were put into hybrids – what we call “bonds in drag.”

Bonds may be boring, but they do pay interest, are more capital secure, and they mature! In a deleveraging cycle, boring can be rather sexy!

As a sign for how the elite still cannot wrap it around their head that we are still in the throes of a secular bull market in “income”, have a look at *Treasury Bonds Defy Expectations* in the FT (our expectations certainly have not been defied, that much we assure you).

**This is the year of SIRP –
safety and income at a
reasonable price**

**Bonds may be boring, but they
do pay interest, are more
capital secure, and they
mature! In a deleveraging
cycle, boring can be rather
sexy!**

Gluskin Sheff at a Glance

Gluskin Sheff + Associates Inc. is one of Canada's pre-eminent wealth management firms. Founded in 1984 and focused primarily on high net worth private clients, we are dedicated to the prudent stewardship of our clients' wealth through the delivery of strong, risk-adjusted investment returns together with the highest level of personalized client service.

OVERVIEW

As of March 31, 2010, the Firm managed assets of \$5.6 billion.

Gluskin Sheff became a publicly traded corporation on the Toronto Stock Exchange (symbol: GS) in May 2006 and remains 54% owned by its senior management and employees. We have public company accountability and governance with a private company commitment to innovation and service.

Our investment interests are directly aligned with those of our clients, as Gluskin Sheff's management and employees are collectively the largest client of the Firm's investment portfolios.

We offer a diverse platform of investment strategies (Canadian and U.S. equities, Alternative and Fixed Income) and investment styles (Value, Growth and Income).¹

The minimum investment required to establish a client relationship with the Firm is \$3 million for Canadian investors and \$5 million for U.S. & International investors.

PERFORMANCE

\$1 million invested in our Canadian Value Portfolio in 1991 (its inception date) would have grown to \$11.7 million² on March 31, 2010 versus \$5.7 million for the S&P/TSX Total Return Index over the same period.

\$1 million USD invested in our U.S. Equity Portfolio in 1986 (its inception date) would have grown to \$8.7 million USD² on March 31, 2010 versus \$6.9 million USD for the S&P 500 Total Return Index over the same period.

Notes:

Unless otherwise noted, all values are in Canadian dollars.

1. Not all investment strategies are available to non-Canadian investors. Please contact Gluskin Sheff for information specific to your situation.
2. Returns are based on the composite of segregated Value and U.S. Equity portfolios, as applicable, and are presented net of fees and expenses.

INVESTMENT STRATEGY & TEAM

We have strong and stable portfolio management, research and client service teams. Aside from recent additions, our Portfolio Managers have been with the Firm for a minimum of ten years and we have attracted "best in class" talent at all levels. Our performance results are those of the team in place.

We have a strong history of insightful bottom-up security selection based on fundamental analysis.

For long equities, we look for companies with a history of long-term growth and stability, a proven track record, shareholder-minded management and a share price below our estimate of intrinsic value. We look for the opposite in equities that we sell short.

For corporate bonds, we look for issuers with a margin of safety for the payment of interest and principal, and yields which are attractive relative to the assessed credit risks involved.

We assemble concentrated portfolios — our top ten holdings typically represent between 25% to 45% of a portfolio. In this way, clients benefit from the ideas in which we have the highest conviction.

Our success has often been linked to our long history of investing in under-followed and under-appreciated small and mid cap companies both in Canada and the U.S.

PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

In terms of asset mix and portfolio construction, we offer a unique marriage between our bottom-up security-specific fundamental analysis and our top-down macroeconomic view.

Our investment interests are directly aligned with those of our clients, as Gluskin Sheff's management and employees are collectively the largest client of the Firm's investment portfolios.

\$1 million invested in our Canadian Value Portfolio in 1991 (its inception date) would have grown to \$11.7 million² on March 31, 2010 versus \$5.7 million for the S&P/TSX Total Return Index over the same period.

For further information, please contact questions@gluskinsheff.com

IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES

Copyright 2010 Gluskin Sheff + Associates Inc. ("Gluskin Sheff"). All rights reserved. This report is prepared for the use of Gluskin Sheff clients and subscribers to this report and may not be redistributed, retransmitted or disclosed, in whole or in part, or in any form or manner, without the express written consent of Gluskin Sheff. Gluskin Sheff reports are distributed simultaneously to internal and client websites and other portals by Gluskin Sheff and are not publicly available materials. Any unauthorized use or disclosure is prohibited.

Gluskin Sheff may own, buy, or sell, on behalf of its clients, securities of issuers that may be discussed in or impacted by this report. As a result, readers should be aware that Gluskin Sheff may have a conflict of interest that could affect the objectivity of this report. This report should not be regarded by recipients as a substitute for the exercise of their own judgment and readers are encouraged to seek independent, third-party research on any companies covered in or impacted by this report.

Individuals identified as economists do not function as research analysts under U.S. law and reports prepared by them are not research reports under applicable U.S. rules and regulations. Macroeconomic analysis is considered investment research for purposes of distribution in the U.K. under the rules of the Financial Services Authority.

Neither the information nor any opinion expressed constitutes an offer or an invitation to make an offer, to buy or sell any securities or other financial instrument or any derivative related to such securities or instruments (e.g., options, futures, warrants, and contracts for differences). This report is not intended to provide personal investment advice and it does not take into account the specific investment objectives, financial situation and the particular needs of any specific person. Investors should seek financial advice regarding the appropriateness of investing in financial instruments and implementing investment strategies discussed or recommended in this report and should understand that statements regarding future prospects may not be realized. Any decision to purchase or subscribe for securities in any offering must be based solely on existing public information on such security or the information in the prospectus or other offering document issued in connection with such offering, and not on this report.

Securities and other financial instruments discussed in this report, or recommended by Gluskin Sheff, are not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and are not deposits or other obligations of any insured depository institution. Investments in general and, derivatives, in particular, involve numerous risks, including, among others, market risk, counterparty default risk and liquidity risk. No security, financial instrument or derivative is suitable for all investors. In some cases, securities and other financial instruments may be difficult to value or sell and reliable information about the value or risks related to the security or financial instrument may be difficult to obtain. Investors should note that income from such securities and other financial instruments, if any, may fluctuate and that price or value of such securities and instruments may rise or fall

and, in some cases, investors may lose their entire principal investment. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. Levels and basis for taxation may change.

Foreign currency rates of exchange may adversely affect the value, price or income of any security or financial instrument mentioned in this report. Investors in such securities and instruments effectively assume currency risk.

Materials prepared by Gluskin Sheff research personnel are based on public information. Facts and views presented in this material have not been reviewed by, and may not reflect information known to, professionals in other business areas of Gluskin Sheff. To the extent this report discusses any legal proceeding or issues, it has not been prepared as nor is it intended to express any legal conclusion, opinion or advice. Investors should consult their own legal advisers as to issues of law relating to the subject matter of this report. Gluskin Sheff research personnel's knowledge of legal proceedings in which any Gluskin Sheff entity and/or its directors, officers and employees may be plaintiffs, defendants, co-defendants or co-plaintiffs with or involving companies mentioned in this report is based on public information. Facts and views presented in this material that relate to any such proceedings have not been reviewed by, discussed with, and may not reflect information known to, professionals in other business areas of Gluskin Sheff in connection with the legal proceedings or matters relevant to such proceedings.

Any information relating to the tax status of financial instruments discussed herein is not intended to provide tax advice or to be used by anyone to provide tax advice. Investors are urged to seek tax advice based on their particular circumstances from an independent tax professional.

The information herein (other than disclosure information relating to Gluskin Sheff and its affiliates) was obtained from various sources and Gluskin Sheff does not guarantee its accuracy. This report may contain links to third-party websites. Gluskin Sheff is not responsible for the content of any third-party website or any linked content contained in a third-party website. Content contained on such third-party websites is not part of this report and is not incorporated by reference into this report. The inclusion of a link in this report does not imply any endorsement by or any affiliation with Gluskin Sheff.

All opinions, projections and estimates constitute the judgment of the author as of the date of the report and are subject to change without notice. Prices also are subject to change without notice. Gluskin Sheff is under no obligation to update this report and readers should therefore assume that Gluskin Sheff will not update any fact, circumstance or opinion contained in this report.

Neither Gluskin Sheff nor any director, officer or employee of Gluskin Sheff accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct, indirect or consequential damages or losses arising from any use of this report or its contents.